

City of California City
<p>Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.</p> <p>All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).</p> <p>Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.</p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1a – Dates listed are in the future. The data period should be the most recent twelve month period for which the land manager has accurate OHV opportunity and visitation data. • #8b – Narrative does not support response. • #8d – Narrative does not support response. • #12a – Narrative does not support response. • #12b – Narrative does not support response. • #13 – Narrative does not support response.

Acquisition	G10-03-26-A01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equipment Purchase – “Property with buildings” may be more appropriate under “Contracts”. Additionally, the line item cost is not consistent with item “I” in the project description. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2b – Need reference document. • #2c – Need reference document. • #3 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Providing additional OHV Opportunity”. • #6 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...and identify the dates of the meetings...” 	

Development	G10-03-26-D01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Applicant may want to revise the statement to identify only the activities to be funded through this project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2b – Need reference document. • #2c – Need reference document. • #12 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Off-site Impacts...” • #13 – Need reference document. 	

Ground Operations	G10-03-26-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Painting water tanks does not appear to be a Ground Operations project. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • C – The size of the specific Project Area(s) is unclear. Applicant may want to provide specific information relative to the actual location of the project. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Maintenance Worker” appears excessive. The use of Law Enforcement rates does not appear appropriate in a Ground Operations project. • Staff – “Park Attendant” appears excessive. Applicant may want to explain the role relative to Maintenance workers. • Staff – “Maintenance worker” (last line item) is unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • Equipment Use Expense – “Equipment Rental” is unclear. Applicant may want to provide additional information. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Conference calls with interested parties”. • #5 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...explain how partners listed will participate in the project”. • #6 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Protecting water quality”. • #7 – “Paper used for trail maps...” appears to be an inappropriate selection. Maps are not a part of this project. 	

Law Enforcement	G10-03-26-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Item 1 – The acquisition of a building is not appropriate for a Law Enforcement project. 	
Project Certification	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – Staffing levels appears excessive for activities listed in Item 1 of the Needs Assessment. Contracts – Air Time appears excessive for activities listed in Item 1 of the Needs Assessment. Equipment Purchase – The purchase of a Public Safety Center is not appropriate for a Law Enforcement grant. 	

Education and Safety	G09-03-26-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – Costs appears excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information to justify the need. Materials/Supplies – Costs for Safety Equipment appears excessive compared to staff positions in the project. It also appears many of the same items were purchased last year. Equipment Purchase – “Laptop computers” appears excessive. Equipment Purchase – “Emergency Response Vehicle Lease” appears excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information of the vehicle to justify the costs including the terms of the lease, and the percentage of time dedicated to OHV. Equipment Purchase – “Off-Road 4x4 ATV with Pickup bed” appears excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional details such as clarifying the type of vehicle. Equipment Purchase – “Equipment to outfit vehicles” – A Crew Cab Pick up is not mentioned in the project description. Applicant may want to provide additional information. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #6 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “Conference calls with interested parties”. 	